arXiv:1105.2722v1 [math.AP] 13 May 2011

Well-posedness of the Viscous Boussinesq System in Besov Spaces of Negative Order Near Index $s = -1$

Chao Deng, Shangbin Cui*

Department of Mathematics, Sun Yat-Sen University, Guangzhou,
Guangdong 510275, P. R. of China

Abstract

This paper is concerned with well-posedness of the Boussinesq system. We prove that the n ($n \geq 2$) dimensional Boussinesq system is well-psoed for small initial data $(\vec{u}_0, \theta_0)$ $(\nabla \cdot \vec{u}_0 = 0)$ either in $(B^{-1}_{\infty,1} \cap B^{-1,1}_{\infty,\infty}) \times B^{-1}_{p,r}$ or in $B^{-1,1}_{\infty,\infty} \times B^{-1,\varepsilon}_{p,\infty}$ if $r \in [1, \infty]$, $\varepsilon > 0$ and $p \in (\frac{n}{2}, \infty)$, where $B^{s,\varepsilon}_{p,q}$ ($s \in \mathbb{R}$, $1 \leq p, q \leq \infty$, $\varepsilon > 0$) is the logarithmically modified Besov space to the standard Besov space $B^s_{p,q}$. We also prove that this system is well-posed for small initial data in $(B^{-1}_{\infty,1} \cap B^{-1,1}_{\infty,\infty}) \times (B^{-1}_{\frac{n}{2},1} \cap B^{-1,1}_{\frac{n}{2},\infty})$.

Keywords: Boussinesq system; Navier-Stokes equations; well-posedness; Besov spaces.
Mathematics Subject Classification: 76D05, 35Q30, 35B40.

1 Introduction

In this paper we will discuss the Cauchy problem for the normalized n-dimensional viscous Boussinesq system which describes the natural convection in a viscous incompressible fluid as follows:

$$\vec{u}_t + (\vec{u} \cdot \nabla)\vec{u} + \nabla P = \Delta \vec{u} + \theta \vec{a} \qquad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n \times (0, \infty), \tag{1.1}$$

$$\operatorname{div} \vec{u} = 0 \qquad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n \times (0, \infty), \tag{1.2}$$

$$\theta_t + \vec{u} \cdot \nabla \theta = \Delta \theta \qquad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n \times (0, \infty), \tag{1.3}$$

$$(\vec{u}(\cdot, t), \theta(\cdot, t))|_{t=0} = (\vec{u}_0(\cdot), \theta_0(\cdot)) \qquad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n, \tag{1.4}$$

where $\vec{u} = (u_1(x,t), u_2(x,t), \cdots, u_n(x,t)) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $P = P(x,t) \in \mathbb{R}$ denote the unknown vector velocity and the unknown scalar pressure of the fluid, respectively. $\theta = \theta(x,t) \in \mathbb{R}$ denotes the density or the temperature. $\theta \vec{a}$ in (1.1) takes into account the influence of the gravity and the stratification on the motion of the fluid. The whole system is considered under initial condition $(\vec{u}_0, \theta_0) = (\vec{u}_0(x), \theta_0(x)) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$.

The Boussinesq system is extensively used in the atmospheric sciences and oceanographic turbulence (cf. [15] and references cited therein). Due to its close relation to fulids, there are a lot of works related to various aspects of this system. Among the fruitful results we only cite papers on well-posedness. In 1980, Cannon and DiBenedetto in [3] established well-posedness of the full viscous Boussinesq system in Lebesgue space within the framework of Kato semigroup. Around 1990, Mirimoto, Hishida and Kagei have investigated weak solutions of this system in [16], [11] and [13]. Well-posedness results in pseudomeasure-type space and weak L^p space, etc. can be found in [10] and references cited therein. Recently, the two dimensional Boussinesq system with partial viscous terms has drawn a lot of attention, see [1, 5, 9, 12] and references cited therein.

---

*E-mail: deng315@yahoo.com.cn, cuisb3@yahoo.com.cn

In this paper, we aim at achieving the lowest regularity results of the full viscous Boussinesq system with dimension $n \geq 2$. Though it is hard to deal with the coupled term $\vec{u}\nabla\theta$, we succeed in finding a suitable product space with regular index being almost -1 in which the Boussinesq system is well-posed. More precisely, we prove that if $(\vec{u}_0, \theta_0) \in (B^{-1}_{\infty,1} \cap B^{-1,1}_{\infty,\infty}) \times (B^{-1}_{\frac{n}{2},1} \cap B^{-1,1}_{\frac{n}{2},\infty})$ satisfying $\mathrm{div}\vec{u}_0 = 0$, where $B^{-1,1}_{p,\infty}$ $(1 \leq p \leq \infty)$ is the logarithmically modified Besov space to the standard Besov space $B^{-1}_{p,\infty}$ (see definition 1.1 below), then there exists a local solution to Eqs. (1.1)$\sim$(1.4). We also prove that if θ_0 belongs to $B^{-1}_{p,r}$ with $p \in (\frac{n}{2}, \infty)$ and $r \in [1, \infty]$ and $\vec{u}_0$ belongs to $B^{-1}_{\infty,1} \cap B^{-1,1}_{\infty,\infty}$ satisfying the divergence free condition, then there exists a local solution to Eqs. (1.1)$\sim$(1.4). The method we use here is essentially frequency localization.

As usual, we use the well-known fixed point arguments and hence we invert Eqs. (1.1) $\sim$ (1.4) into the corresponding integral equations:

$$\vec{u} = e^{t\Delta}\vec{u}_0 - \int_0^t e^{(t-s)\Delta}\mathbb{P}(\vec{u}\cdot\nabla)\vec{u}ds + \int_0^t e^{(t-s)\Delta}\mathbb{P}(\theta\vec{a})ds, \tag{1.5}$$

$$\theta = e^{t\Delta}\theta_0 - \int_0^t e^{(t-s)\Delta}(\vec{u}\cdot\nabla\theta)ds, \tag{1.6}$$

where $\mathbb{P}$ is the Helmholtz projection operator given by $\mathbb{P} = I + \nabla(-\Delta)^{-1}\mathrm{div}$ with I representing the unit operator. In what follows, we shall regard Eqs. (1.5) and (1.6) as a fixed point system for the map

$$\mathfrak{J}: \ (\vec{u}, \theta) \mapsto \mathfrak{J}(\vec{u}, \theta) = (\mathfrak{J}_1(\vec{u}, \theta), \mathfrak{J}_2(\vec{u}, \theta)),$$

where $\mathfrak{J}_1(\vec{u}, \theta)$ and $\mathfrak{J}_2(\vec{u}, \theta)$ denote the right-hand sides of (1.5) and (1.6), respectively.

Before showing our main results of this paper, let us first recall the nonhomogeneous littlewood-Paley decomposition by means of a sequence of operators $(\triangle_j)_{j\in\mathbb{Z}}$ and then we define the Besov type space $B^{s,\alpha}_{p,r}$ and the corresponding Chemin-Lerner type space $\tilde{L}^\rho(B^{s,\alpha}_{p,r})$.

To this end, let $\gamma > 1$ and (φ, χ) be a couple of smooth functions valued in $[0, 1]$, such that φ is supported in the shell $\{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n; \gamma^{-1} \leq |\xi| \leq 2\gamma\}$, χ is supported in the ball $\{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n; |\xi| \leq \gamma\}$ and

$$\chi(\xi) + \sum_{q\in\mathbb{N}} \varphi(2^{-q}\xi) = 1, \quad \forall\ \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

For $u \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$, we define nonhomogeneous dyadic blocks as follows:

$$\triangle_q u := 0 \quad \text{if } q \leq -2,$$

$$\triangle_{-1}u := \chi(D)u = \tilde{h} * u \quad \text{with } \tilde{h} := \mathcal{F}^{-1}\chi,$$
$$\triangle_q u := \varphi(2^{-q}D)u = 2^{qn}\int h(2^q y)u(x-y)dy \quad \text{with } h := \mathcal{F}^{-1}\varphi \text{ if } q \geq 0.$$

One can prove that

$$u = \sum_{q\geq -1} \triangle_q u \quad \text{in } \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$$

for all tempered distribution u. The right-hand side is called nonhomogeneous Littlewood-Paley decomposition of u. It is also convenient to introduce the following partial sum operator:

$$S_q u := \sum_{p\leq q-1} \triangle_p u.$$

Obviously we have $S_0 u = \triangle_{-1}u$. Since $\varphi(\xi) = \chi(\xi/2) - \chi(\xi)$ for all $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$, one can prove that

$$S_q u = \chi(2^{-q}D)u = \int \tilde{h}(2^q y)u(x-y)dy \quad \text{for all } q \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Let $\gamma = 4/3$. Then we have the following result, i.e. for any $u \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $v \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$, there holds

$$\triangle_k \triangle_q u \equiv 0 \quad \text{for } |k-q| \geq 2, \tag{1.7}$$
$$\triangle_k(S_{q-1}u\triangle_q v) \equiv 0 \quad \text{for } |k-q| \geq 5, \tag{1.8}$$
$$\triangle_k(\triangle_q u \triangle_{q+l} v) \equiv 0 \quad \text{for } |l| \leq 1, \quad k \geq q+4. \tag{1.9}$$

Definition 1.1. *Let $T > 0$, $-\infty < s < \infty$ and $1 \leq p,\ r,\ \rho \leq \infty$.*

(1) *We say that a tempered distribution $f \in B^{s,\alpha}_{p,r}$ if and only if*

$$\Big(\sum_{q\geq -1} 2^{qrs}(3+q)^{\alpha r}\|\triangle_q f\|^r_p\Big)^{\frac{1}{r}} < \infty \tag{1.10}$$

(with the usual convention for $r = \infty$).

(2) *We say that a tempered distribution $u \in \tilde{L}^\rho_T(B^{s,\alpha}_{p,r})$ if and only if*

$$\|u\|_{\tilde{L}^\rho_T(B^{s,\alpha}_{p,r})} := \Big(\sum_q 2^{qrs}(3+q)^{\alpha r}\|\triangle_q u\|^r_{L^\rho(0,T;L^p_x)}\Big)^{\frac{1}{r}} < \infty. \tag{1.11}$$

Remarks. (i) The definition (1) is essentially due to Yoneda [19] where he considered the homogeneous version of the space $B^{s,\alpha}_{p,r}$ (see also remarks there). Note that by using the heat semigroup characterization of these spaces (see Lemma 4.1 in Section 4), we see that $B^{-1,1}_{\infty,\infty}$ coincides with the space $B^{-1(\ln)}_{\infty,\infty}$ considered by the second author in his recent work [7]. The definition (2) in the case $\alpha = 0$ (note that $B^{s,0}_{q,r} = B^s_{q,r}$) is due to Chermin etc. (cf. [6, 8]).

(ii) Similar to the case $\alpha = 0$ (see [8] and references cited therein), by using the Minkowski inequality we see that for $0 \le \alpha \le \beta < \infty$,

$$\|f\|_{\tilde{L}^\rho_T(B^{s,\alpha}_{p,r})} \le \|f\|_{L^\rho_T B^{s,\beta}_{p,r}} \ \text{ if } r \ge \rho, \quad \|f\|_{L^\rho_T B^{s,\alpha}_{p,r}} \le \|f\|_{\tilde{L}^\rho_T(B^{s,\beta}_{p,r})} \ \text{ if } r \le \rho.$$

We now state the main results. In the first two results we consider the case where the first component $\vec{u}_0$ of the initial data lies in the space $B^{-1}_{\infty,1} \cap B^{-1,1}_{\infty,\infty}$. In the third result we consider the case where $\vec{u}_0$ lies in the less regular space $B^{-1,1}_{\infty,\infty}$. As we shall see, in this case we need the second component θ_0 of the initial data to lie in a more regular space.

Theorem 1.2. *Let $n \ge 2$. Given $T > 0$, there exist μ_1, $\mu_2 > 0$ such that for any $(\vec{u}_0, \theta_0) \in (B^{-1}_{\infty,1} \cap B^{-1,1}_{\infty,\infty}) \times (B^{-1}_{\frac{n}{2},1} \cap B^{-1,1}_{\frac{n}{2},\infty})$ satisfying*

$$\begin{cases} \|\vec{u}_0\|_{B^{-1}_{\infty,1}} + \|\vec{u}_0\|_{B^{-1,1}_{\infty,\infty}} \le \mu_1 \quad \text{and} \quad \mathrm{div}\vec{u}_0 = 0, \\ \|\theta_0\|_{B^{-1}_{\frac{n}{2},1}} + \|\theta_0\|_{B^{-1,1}_{\frac{n}{2},\infty}} \le \mu_2, \end{cases}$$

the Boussinesq system has a unique solution $(\vec{u}, \theta)$ in $\Big(\tilde{L}^2_T(B^0_{\infty,1}) \cap \tilde{L}^2_T(B^{0,1}_{\infty,\infty})\Big) \times \Big(\tilde{L}^2_T(B^0_{\frac{n}{2},1}) \cap \tilde{L}^2_T(B^{0,1}_{\frac{n}{2},\infty})\Big)$ and $C_w\Big([0,T]; B^{-1}_{\infty,1} \cap B^{-1,1}_{\infty,\infty}\Big) \times C\Big([0,T]; B^{-1}_{\frac{n}{2},1} \cap B^{-1,1}_{\frac{n}{2},\infty}\Big)$ satisfying

$$\|\vec{u}\|_{\tilde{L}^2_T(B^0_{\infty,1})} + \|\vec{u}\|_{\tilde{L}^2_T(B^{0,1}_{\infty,\infty})} \le 2\mu_1 \quad \text{and} \quad \|\theta\|_{\tilde{L}^2_T(B^0_{\frac{n}{2},1})} + \|\theta\|_{\tilde{L}^2_T(B^{0,1}_{\frac{n}{2},\infty})} \le 2\mu_2.$$

Theorem 1.3. *Let $n \ge 2$, $1 \le r \le \infty$ and $p \in (\frac{n}{2}, \infty)$. Given $T > 0$, there exist μ_1, $\mu_2 > 0$ such that for any $(\vec{u}_0, \theta_0) \in (B^{-1}_{\infty,1} \cap B^{-1,1}_{\infty,\infty}) \times B^{-1}_{p,r}$ satisfying*

$$\begin{cases} \|\vec{u}_0\|_{B^{-1}_{\infty,1}} + \|\vec{u}_0\|_{B^{-1,1}_{\infty,\infty}} \le \mu_1 \quad \text{and} \quad \mathrm{div}\vec{u}_0 = 0, \\ \qquad\qquad \|\theta_0\|_{B^{-1}_{p,r}} \le \mu_2, \end{cases}$$

the Boussinesq system has a unique solution $(\vec{u}, \theta)$ in $\Big(\tilde{L}^2_T(B^0_{\infty,1}) \cap \tilde{L}^2_T(B^{0,1}_{\infty,\infty})\Big) \times \tilde{L}^2_T(B^0_{p,r})$ and $C_w([0,T]; B^{-1}_{\infty,1} \cap B^{-1,1}_{\infty,\infty}) \times C([0,T]; B^{-1}_{p,r\neq\infty})$ or $C_w([0,T]; B^{-1}_{\infty,1} \cap B^{-1,1}_{\infty,\infty}) \times C_w([0,T]; B^{-1}_{p,\infty})$ satisfying

$$\|\vec{u}\|_{\tilde{L}^2_T(B^0_{\infty,1})} + \|\vec{u}\|_{\tilde{L}^2_T(B^0_{\infty,\infty})} \le 2\mu_1 \quad \text{and} \quad \|\theta\|_{\tilde{L}^2_T(B^{0,1}_{p,r})} \le 2\mu_2.$$

Theorem 1.4. *Let $n \ge 2$, $\varepsilon > 0$ and $p \in (\frac{n}{2}, \infty)$. Given $0 < T \le 1$, there exist $\mu_1 = \mu_1(\varepsilon)$, $\mu_2 = \mu_2(\varepsilon) > 0$ such that for any $(\vec{u}_0, \theta_0) \in B^{-1,1}_{\infty,\infty} \times B^{-1,\varepsilon}_{p,\infty}$ satisfying*

$$\begin{cases} \|\vec{u}_0\|_{B^{-1,1}_{\infty,\infty}} \le \mu_1 \quad \text{and} \quad \mathrm{div}\vec{u}_0 = 0, \\ \|\theta_0\|_{B^{-1,\varepsilon}_{p,\infty}} \le \mu_2, \end{cases}$$

the Boussinesq system has a unique solution $(\vec{u}, \theta)$ in $C_w([0,T]; B^{-1,1}_{\infty,\infty}) \times C_w([0,T]; B^{-1,\varepsilon}_{p,\infty})$ satisfying

$$\sup_{0<t<T} t^{\frac{1}{2}} |\ln(\frac{t}{e^2})| \|\vec{u}\|_\infty \le 2\mu_1 \quad \text{and} \quad \sup_{0<t<T} t^{\frac{1}{2}} |\ln(\frac{t}{e^2})|^\varepsilon \|\theta\|_p \le 2\mu_2.$$

Later on, we shall use C and c to denote positive constants which depend on dimension n, $|\vec{a}|$ and might depend on p and may change from line to line. $\mathcal{F}f$ and $\hat{f}$ stand for Fourier transform of f with respect to space variable and $\mathcal{F}^{-1}$ stands for the inverse Fourier transform. We denote $A \leq CB$ by $A \lesssim B$ and $A \lesssim B \lesssim A$ by $A \sim B$. For any $1 \leq \rho, q \leq \infty$, we denote $L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $L^\rho(0,T)$ and $L^\rho(0,T; L^q(\mathbb{R}^n))$ by L^q_x, L^ρ_T and $L^\rho_T L^q_x$, respectively. We denote $\|f\|_{L^p_x}$ by $\|f\|_p$ for short. In what follows we will not distinguish vector valued function space and scalar function space if there is no confusion.

We use two different methods which are used by Chermin, etc. and Kato, etc. respectively to prove Theorems 1.2∼1.3 and Theorem 1.4. Therefore we write their proofs in separate sections. In Sect. 2 we introduce the paradifferential calculus results, while in Sect. 3 we prove Theorems 1.2∼1.3. Finally, in Sect. 4 we prove Theorem 1.4.

2 Paradifferential calculus

In this section, we prove several preliminary results concerning the paradifferential calculus. We first recall some fundamental results.

Lemma 2.1. (Bernstein) *Let k be in $\mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ and $0 < R_1 < R_2$. There exists a constant C depending only on R_1, R_2 and dimension n, such that for all $1 \leq a \leq b \leq \infty$ and $u \in L^a_x$, we have*

$$\mathrm{supp}\hat{u} \subset B(0, R_1\lambda), \quad \sup_{|\alpha|=k} \|\partial^\alpha u\|_b \leq C^{k+1}\lambda^{k+n(1/a-1/b)}\|u\|_a, \tag{2.1}$$

$$\mathrm{supp}\hat{u} \subset C(0, R_1\lambda, R_2\lambda), \quad \sup_{|\alpha|=k} \|\partial^\alpha u\|_a \sim C^{k+1}\lambda^{k}\|u\|_a. \tag{2.2}$$

Lemma 2.2. [8, 18] *Let $1 \leq p < \infty$. Then we have the following assertions:*

(1) $B^0_{\infty,1} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{C} \cap L^\infty_x \hookrightarrow L^\infty_x \hookrightarrow B^0_{\infty,\infty}$.

(2) $B^0_{p,1} \hookrightarrow L^p_x \hookrightarrow B^0_{p,\infty}$.

Lemma 2.3. (1) *Let $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, $0 \leq \beta \leq \alpha < \infty$, $-\infty < s < \infty$ and $1 \leq r_1 < r_2 \leq \infty$. Then*

$$B^{s,\alpha}_{p,r_1} \hookrightarrow B^{s,\alpha}_{p,r_2} \hookrightarrow B^{s,\beta}_{p,r_2}.$$

(2) *Let $1 < \tilde{r} \leq \infty$, $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ and $-\infty < s < \infty$. For any $\epsilon > 0$, we have*

$$B^{s+\epsilon}_{p,\infty} \hookrightarrow B^{s,1}_{p,\infty} \hookrightarrow B^{s}_{p,\tilde{r}}, \quad B^{s+\epsilon}_{p,\infty} \hookrightarrow B^{s}_{p,1} \hookrightarrow B^{s}_{p,\tilde{r}}. \tag{2.3}$$

(3) *There is no inclusion relation between the spaces $B^0_{\infty,1}$ and $B^{0,1}_{\infty,\infty}$.*

Proof. It suffices to prove (3). Similar to [19], we set

$$f = \sum_{j=-1}^{\infty} a_j \delta_{2^j} \text{ for } \{a_j\}_{j=-1}^{\infty} \subset \mathbb{R},$$

where δ_z is the Dirac delta function massed at $z \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Then we have

$$\|f\|_{B^0_{\infty,1}} \simeq \sum_{j\geq -1} |a_j|, \quad \|f\|_{B^{0,1}_{\infty,\infty}} \simeq \sup_{j\geq -1} (j+3)|a_j|.$$

So if we take $a_j = (j+3)^{-1}$ for $j \geq 0$ and $a_j = 0$ for $j < 0$, then $\|f\|_{B^{0,1}_{\infty,\infty}} \simeq 1$, $\|f\|_{B^0_{\infty,1}} = \infty$. Therefore, $B^{0,1}_{\infty,\infty}$ is not included in $B^0_{\infty,1}$. Next, let δ_{jk} be Kronecker's delta. For fixed $k \in \mathbb{N}$, if we take $a_j = \frac{\delta_{kj}}{3+j}$ for $j \geq 0$ and $a_j = 0$ for $j < 0$, then we have $\|f\|_{B^{0,1}_{\infty,\infty}} \simeq 1$ and $\|f\|_{B^0_{\infty,1}} = \frac{1}{k}$. Since k is arbitrary, $B^0_{\infty,1}$ is not included in $B^{0,1}_{\infty,\infty}$. □

We now begin our discussion on paradifferential calculus.

Lemma 2.4. *For any $p, p_1, p_2, r \in [1,\infty]$ satisfying $\frac{1}{p} = \frac{1}{p_1} + \frac{1}{p_2}$, the bilinear map $(u,v) \mapsto uv$ is bounded from $(B^0_{p_1,1} \cap B^{0,1}_{p_1,\infty}) \times B^0_{p_2,r}$ to $B^0_{p,r}$, i.e.*

$$\|uv\|_{B^0_{p,r}} \lesssim \|u\|_{B^0_{p_1,1}\cap B^{0,1}_{p_1,\infty}} \|v\|_{B^0_{p_2,r}}. \tag{2.4}$$

Proof. Following Bony [2] we write

$$uv = \mathcal{T}(u,v) + \mathcal{T}(v,u) + \mathcal{R}(u,v),$$

where

$$\mathcal{T}(u,v) = \sum_{q\geq -1} S_{q-1}u\triangle_q v, \quad \mathcal{R}(u,v) = \sum_{l=-1}^{1}\sum_{q\geq -1} \triangle_q u \triangle_{q+l} v.$$

The estimate of $\mathcal{T}(u,v)$ is simple. Indeed, by Proposition 1.4.1 (i) of [8] we know that for any $p, r \in [1,\infty]$, $\mathcal{T}$ is bounded from $L^\infty_x \times B^0_{p,r}$ to $B^0_{p,r}$. By slightly modifying the proof of that proposition, we see that for any $p, p_1, p_2, r \in [1,\infty]$ satisfying $\frac{1}{p} = \frac{1}{p_1} + \frac{1}{p_2}$, $\mathcal{T}$ is also bounded from $L^{p_1}_x \times B^0_{p_2,r}$ to $B^0_{p,r}$. Thus for any $p, p_1, p_2, r \in [1,\infty]$ we have

$$\|\mathcal{T}(u,v)\|_{B^0_{p,r}} \lesssim \|u\|_{L^{p_1}} \|v\|_{B^0_{p_2,r}} \lesssim \|u\|_{B^0_{p_1,1}} \|v\|_{B^0_{p_2,r}}. \tag{2.5}$$

In what follows we estimate $\mathcal{T}(v,u)$ and $\mathcal{R}(u,v)$. By interpolation, it suffices to consider the two end point cases $r = 1$ and $r = \infty$.

To estimate $\|\mathcal{T}(v,u)\|_{B^0_{p,1}}$, we use (1.8) to deduce

$$\begin{aligned}\|\mathcal{T}(v,u)\|_{B^0_{p,1}} &= \sum_{k\geq -1} \|\triangle_k(\sum_{q\geq -1} S_{q-1}v\triangle_q u)\|_p \lesssim \sum_{k\geq -1}\sum_{|q-k|\leq 4, q\geq -1} \|S_{q-1}v\|_{p_2}\|\triangle_q u\|_{p_1} \\ &\lesssim \|v\|_{p_2}\sum_{k\geq -1}\|\triangle_k u\|_{p_1} \lesssim \|u\|_{B^0_{p_1,1}}\|v\|_{B^0_{p_2,1}}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.6}$$

To estimate $\|\mathcal{T}(v,u)\|_{B^0_{p,\infty}}$ we first note that

$$\|S_{q-1}v\|_{p_2} \lesssim \sum_{j=-1}^{q-2} \|\triangle_j v\|_{p_2} \lesssim (q+3)\|v\|_{B^0_{p_2,\infty}}.$$

Using this inequality and (1.8) we see that

$$\begin{aligned}\|\mathcal{T}(v,u)\|_{B^0_{p,\infty}} =& \sup_{k\geq -1} \|\triangle_k(\sum_{q\geq -1} S_{q-1}v\triangle_q u)\|_p \lesssim \sup_{k\geq -1} \sum_{|q-k|\leq 4, q\geq -1} \|S_{q-1}v\|_{p_2}\|\triangle_q u\|_{p_1} \\ \lesssim& \|v\|_{B^0_{p_2,\infty}} \sup_{k\geq -1} \sum_{|q-k|\leq 4, q\geq -1} (q+3)\|\triangle_q u\|_{p_1} \lesssim \|u\|_{B^{0,1}_{p_1,\infty}} \|v\|_{B^0_{p_2,\infty}}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.7}$$

To estimate $\|\mathcal{R}(u,v)\|_{B^0_{p,1}}$, we write

$$\begin{aligned}\|\mathcal{R}(u,v)\|_{B^0_{p,1}} \lesssim& \sum_{l=-1}^{1} \sum_{k\geq -1} \|\triangle_k(\sum_{q\geq -1} \triangle_q u\triangle_{q+l}v)\|_p \\ \lesssim& \sum_{l=-1}^{1} \sum_{k=-1}^{3} \|\triangle_k(\sum_{q\geq -1}\triangle_q u\triangle_{q+l}v)\|_p + \sum_{l=-1}^{1} \sum_{k\geq 4} \|\triangle_k(\sum_{q\geq -1} \triangle_q u\triangle_{q+l}v)\|_p \\ :=& I_1 + I_2. \end{aligned}$$

For I_1 we have

$$I_1 \lesssim \sum_{l=-1}^{1} \|\sum_{q\geq -1} \triangle_q u\triangle_{q+l}v\|_p \lesssim \sum_{l=-1}^{1} \sum_{q\geq -1} \|\triangle_q u\|_{p_1}\|\triangle_{q+l}v\|_{p_2} \lesssim \|u\|_{B^0_{p_1,\infty}}\|v\|_{B^0_{p_2,1}}.$$

For I_2, by using (1.9) we deduce

$$\begin{aligned} I_2 \lesssim& \sum_{l=-1}^{1} \sum_{k\geq 4} \sum_{q\geq k-3} \|\triangle_q u\|_{p_1}\|\triangle_{q+l}v\|_{p_2} \lesssim \sum_{l=-1}^{1} \sum_{q\geq 1} \sum_{4\leq k\leq 3+q} \|\triangle_q u\|_{p_1}\|\triangle_{q+l}v\|_{p_2} \\ \lesssim& \sum_{l=-1}^{1} \sum_{q\geq 1}(q+3)\|\triangle_q u\|_{p_1}\|\triangle_{q+l}v\|_{p_2} \lesssim \|u\|_{B^{0,1}_{p_1,\infty}}\|v\|_{B^0_{p_2,1}}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\|\mathcal{R}(u,v)\|_{B^0_{p,1}} \lesssim \|u\|_{B^{0,1}_{p_1,\infty}}\|v\|_{B^0_{p_2,1}}. \tag{2.8}$$

Similarly we have

$$\|\mathcal{R}(u,v)\|_{B^0_{p,\infty}} \lesssim \sum_{l=-1}^{1} \sup_{k\geq -1} \|\triangle_k(\sum_{q\geq -1} \triangle_q u\triangle_{q+l}v)\|_p$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\lesssim \sum_{l=-1}^{1}\Big(\sum_{q\ge -1}\|\triangle_q u\|_{p_1}\|\triangle_{q+l}v\|_{p_2}\Big)\\
&\lesssim \|u\|_{B^0_{p_1,1}}\|v\|_{B^0_{p_2,\infty}}. \qquad (2.9)
\end{aligned}
$$

From (2.5)∼(2.9) and interpolation, we obtain the desired estimate. This completes the proof of Lemma 2.4. □

Lemma 2.5. *For any $p, p_2, p_2 \in [1,\infty]$ satisfying $\frac{1}{p}=\frac{1}{p_1}+\frac{1}{p_2}$, the bilinear map $(u,v)\mapsto uv$ is bounded from $(B^0_{p_1,1}\cap B^{0,1}_{p_1,\infty})\times(B^0_{p_2,1}\cap B^{0,1}_{p_2,\infty})$ to $B^0_{p,1}\cap B^{0,1}_{p,\infty}$, i.e.*

$$
\|uv\|_{B^0_{p,1}\cap B^{0,1}_{p,\infty}}\lesssim \|u\|_{B^0_{p_1,1}\cap B^{0,1}_{p_1,\infty}}\|v\|_{B^0_{p_2,1}\cap B^{0,1}_{p_2,\infty}}. \qquad (2.10)
$$

In particular, $B^0_{\infty,1}\cap B^{0,1}_{\infty,\infty}$ is a Banach algebra.

Proof. By Lemma 2.4, we only need to prove that

$$
\|uv\|_{B^{0,1}_{p,\infty}}\lesssim \|u\|_{B^0_{p_1,1}\cap B^{0,1}_{p_1,\infty}}\|v\|_{B^0_{p_2,1}\cap B^{0,1}_{p_2,\infty}}. \qquad (2.11)
$$

As before we decompose uv into the sum of $\mathcal{T}(u,v)$, $\mathcal{T}(v,u)$ and $\mathcal{R}(u,v)$. To estimate $\|\mathcal{T}(u,v)\|_{B^{0,1}_{p,\infty}}$, we use (1.8) to deduce

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|\mathcal{T}(u,v)\|_{B^{0,1}_{p,\infty}} &= \sup_{k\ge -1}(k+3)\|\triangle_k(\sum_{q\ge -1}S_{q-1}u\triangle_q v)\|_p\\
&\lesssim \sup_{k\ge -1}(k+3)\|\triangle_k(\sum_{|q-k|\le 4, q\ge -1}S_{q-1}u\triangle_q v)\|_p\\
&\lesssim \sup_{k\ge -1}(k+3)\sum_{|q-k|\le 4, q\ge -1}\|S_{q-1}u\|_{p_1}\|\triangle_q v\|_{p_2}\\
&\lesssim \|u\|_{p_1}\sup_{q\ge -1}(q+3)\|\triangle_q v\|_{p_2}\\
&\lesssim \|u\|_{B^0_{p_1,1}}\|v\|_{B^{0,1}_{p_2,\infty}}. \qquad (2.12)
\end{aligned}
$$

The estimate of $\|\mathcal{T}(v,u)\|_{B^{0,1}_{p,\infty}}$ is similar, with minor modifications. Indeed,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|\mathcal{T}(v,u)\|_{B^{0,1}_{p,\infty}} &= \sup_{k\ge -1}(k+3)\|\triangle_k(\sum_{q\ge -1}S_{q-1}v\triangle_q u)\|_p\\
&\lesssim \sup_{k\ge -1}(k+3)\sum_{|q-k|\le 4, q\ge -1}\|S_{q-1}v\|_{p_2}\|\triangle_q u\|_{p_1}\\
&\lesssim \|v\|_{p_2}\sup_{q\ge -1}(q+3)\|\triangle_q u\|_{p_1}\\
&\lesssim \|u\|_{B^{0,1}_{p_1,\infty}}\|v\|_{B^0_{p_2,1}}. \qquad (2.13)
\end{aligned}
$$

To estimate $\|\mathcal{R}(u,v)\|_{B^{0,1}_{p,\infty}}$ we write

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\mathcal{R}(u,v)\|_{B^{0,1}_{p,\infty}} \leq & \sum_{l=-1}^{1} \sup_{k\geq -1} (3+k)\|\triangle_k(\sum_{q\geq -1} \triangle_q u \triangle_{q+l} v)\|_p \\
\leq & \sum_{l=-1}^{1} \sup_{7\geq k\geq -1} (3+k)\|\triangle_k(\sum_{q\geq -1} \triangle_q u \triangle_{q+l} v)\|_p \\
& + \sum_{l=-1}^{1} \sup_{q+3\geq k\geq 8} (3+k)\|\triangle_k(\sum_{q\geq 5} \triangle_q u \triangle_{q+l} v)\|_p \\
:= & I_3 + I_4.
\end{aligned}$$

For I_3 we have

$$I_3 \lesssim \sum_{l=-1}^{1} \sum_{q\geq -1} \|\triangle_q u\|_{p_1} \|\triangle_{q+l} v\|_{p_2} \lesssim \|u\|_{B^0_{p_1,1}} \|v\|_{B^0_{p_2,\infty}} \lesssim \|u\|_{B^0_{p_1,1}} \|v\|_{B^{0,1}_{p_2,\infty}}.$$

For I_4 we have

$$\begin{aligned}
I_4 = & \sum_{l=-1}^{1} \sup_{q+3\geq k\geq 8} (3+k)\|\triangle_k(\sum_{q\geq 5} \triangle_q u \triangle_{q+l} v)\|_p \\
\lesssim & \sum_{l=-1}^{1} \sum_{q\geq 4} (3+q) \|\triangle_q u\|_{p_1} \|\triangle_{q+l} v\|_{p_2} \lesssim \|u\|_{B^0_{p_1,1}} \|v\|_{B^{0,1}_{p_2,\infty}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\|\mathcal{R}(u,v)\|_{B^{0,1}_{p,\infty}} \lesssim \|u\|_{B^0_{p_1,1}} \|v\|_{B^{0,1}_{p_2,\infty}}. \tag{2.14}$$

Combining (2.12)∼(2.14), we see that (2.11) follows. This prove Lemma 2.5. □

Lemma 2.6. *Let* p, p_i, r, ρ, $\rho_i \in [1,\infty]$ $(i=1,2)$ *be such that* $\frac{1}{\rho} = \frac{1}{\rho_1} + \frac{1}{\rho_2}$ *and* $\frac{1}{p} = \frac{1}{p_1} + \frac{1}{p_2}$. *Then we have*

$$\|uv\|_{\tilde{L}^\rho_T(B^0_{p,r})} \lesssim \|u\|_{\tilde{L}^{\rho_1}_T(B^0_{p_1,1}) \cap \tilde{L}^{\rho_1}_T(B^{0,1}_{p_1,\infty})} \|v\|_{\tilde{L}^{\rho_2}_T(B^0_{p_2,r})}. \tag{2.15}$$

Proof. The proof is similar to that of Lemma 2.4. Indeed, as in the proof of Lemma 2.4 we decompose uv into the sum of $\mathcal{T}(u,v)$, $\mathcal{T}(v,u)$ and $\mathcal{R}(u,v)$. To estimate $\|\mathcal{T}(u,v)\|_{\tilde{L}^\rho_T(B^0_{p,\infty})}$, we use (1.8) to write

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\mathcal{T}(u,v)\|_{\tilde{L}^\rho_T(B^0_{p,\infty})} = & \sup_{k\geq -1} \|\triangle_k(\sum_{q\geq -1} S_{q-1} u \triangle_q v)\|_{L^\rho_T L^p_x} \\
\lesssim & \sup_{k\geq -1} \|\triangle_k(\sum_{|q-k|\leq 4, q\geq -1} S_{q-1} u \triangle_q v)\|_{L^\rho_T L^p_x}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\lesssim &\sup_{k\geq -1}\sum_{|q-k|\leq 4, q\geq -1}\|S_{q-1}u\|_{L_T^{\rho_1}L_x^{p_1}}\|\triangle_q v\|_{L_T^{\rho_2}L_x^{p_2}}\\ \lesssim &\|u\|_{\tilde{L}_T^{\rho_1}(B^0_{p_1,1})}\|v\|_{\tilde{L}_T^{\rho_2}(B^0_{p_2,\infty})}.\end{aligned}$$

The estimates of $\|\mathcal{T}(v,u)\|_{\tilde{L}_T^{\rho}(B^0_{p,\infty})}$ and $\|\mathcal{R}(u,v)\|_{\tilde{L}_T^{\rho}(B^0_{p,\infty})}$ are similar and we omit the details here. □

Lemma 2.7. *Let p, p_i, ρ, $\rho_i \in [1,\infty]$ $(i=1,2)$ be such that $\frac{1}{\rho}=\frac{1}{\rho_1}+\frac{1}{\rho_2}$ and $\frac{1}{p}=\frac{1}{p_1}+\frac{1}{p_2}$. Then we have*

$$\|uv\|_{\tilde{L}_T^{\rho}(B^0_{p,1})\cap\tilde{L}_T^{\rho}(B^{0,1}_{p,\infty})}\lesssim\|u\|_{\tilde{L}_T^{\rho_1}(B^0_{p_1,1})\cap\tilde{L}_T^{\rho_1}(B^{0,1}_{p_1,\infty})}\|v\|_{\tilde{L}_T^{\rho_2}(B^0_{p_2,1})\cap\tilde{L}_T^{\rho_2}(B^{0,1}_{p_2,\infty})}. \tag{2.16}$$

Proof. The proof is similar to that of Lemma 2.5; we thus omit it. □

We note that results obtained in Lemmas 2.4∼2.7 still hold for vector valued functions.

3 Proofs of Theorems 1.2 and 1.3

In this section, we give the proofs of Theorems 1.2 and 1.3. We need the following preliminary result:

Lemma 3.1. ([4], P.189, LEMMA 5) *Let $(\mathcal{X}\times\mathcal{Y},\ \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{X}}+\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{Y}})$ be an abstract Banach product space. $B_1:\mathcal{X}\times\mathcal{X}\to\mathcal{X}$, $B_2:\mathcal{X}\times\mathcal{Y}\to\mathcal{Y}$ and $L:\mathcal{Y}\to\mathcal{X}$ are respectively two bilinear operators and one linear operator such that for any $(x_i,y_i)\in\mathcal{X}\times\mathcal{Y}$ $(i=1,2)$, we have*

$$\|B_1(x_1,x_2)\|_{\mathcal{X}}\leq\lambda\|x_1\|_{\mathcal{X}}\|x_2\|_{\mathcal{X}},\quad \|L(y_i)\|_{\mathcal{X}}\leq\eta\|y_i\|_{\mathcal{Y}},\quad \|B_2(x_i,y_i)\|_{\mathcal{Y}}\leq\lambda\|x_i\|_{\mathcal{X}}\|y_i\|_{\mathcal{Y}},$$

where $\lambda,\eta>0$. For any $(x_0,y_0)\in\mathcal{X}\times\mathcal{Y}$ with $\|(x_0,c_*y_0)\|_{\mathcal{X}\times\mathcal{Y}}<1/(16\lambda)$ $(c_*=\max\{2\eta,1\})$, the following system

$$(x,y)=(x_0,y_0)+\Big(B_1(x,x),\ B_2(x,y)\Big)+\Big(L(y),\ 0\Big)$$

has a solution (x,y) in $\mathcal{X}\times\mathcal{Y}$. In particular, the solution is such that

$$\|(x,c_*y)\|_{\mathcal{X}\times\mathcal{Y}}\leq 4\|(x_0,c_*y_0)\|_{\mathcal{X}\times\mathcal{Y}}$$

and it is the only one such that $\|(x,c_*y)\|_{\mathcal{X}\times\mathcal{Y}}<1/(4\lambda)$.

For $n\geq 2$, $p\in(\frac{n}{2},\infty)$ and $r\in[1,\infty]$, let $\mathcal{X}_T$ and $\mathcal{Z}_T$ respectively be the spaces

$$\mathcal{X}_T=\tilde{L}_T^2(B^0_{\infty,1})\cap\tilde{L}_T^2(B^{0,1}_{\infty,\infty})\quad\text{ and }\quad\mathcal{Z}_T=\tilde{L}_T^2(B^0_{p,r})$$

with norms

$$\|\vec{u}\|_{\mathcal{X}_T}:=\|\vec{u}\|_{\tilde{L}_T^2(B^0_{\infty,1})}+\|\vec{u}\|_{\tilde{L}_T^2(B^{0,1}_{\infty,\infty})}\quad\text{ and }\quad\|\theta\|_{\mathcal{Z}_T}:=\|\theta\|_{\tilde{L}_T^2(B^0_{p,r})}.$$

Let $\mathcal{Y}_T$ be the space

$$\mathcal{Y}_T = \tilde{L}^2_T(B^0_{\frac{n}{2},1}) \cap \tilde{L}^2_T(B^{0,1}_{\frac{n}{2},\infty})$$

with norm

$$\|\theta\|_{\mathcal{Y}_T} := \|\theta\|_{\tilde{L}^2_T(B^0_{\frac{n}{2},1})} + \|\theta\|_{\tilde{L}^2_T(B^{0,1}_{\frac{n}{2},\infty})}.$$

Recall that

$$\begin{cases} \mathfrak{J}_1(\vec{u},\theta) = e^{t\Delta}\vec{u}_0 - \displaystyle\int_0^t e^{(t-s)\Delta}\mathbb{P}(\vec{u}\cdot\nabla)\vec{u}ds + \int_0^t e^{(t-s)\Delta}\mathbb{P}(\theta\vec{a})ds, \\ \mathfrak{J}_2(\vec{u},\theta) = e^{t\Delta}\theta_0 - \displaystyle\int_0^t e^{(t-s)\Delta}(\vec{u}\cdot\nabla\theta)ds. \end{cases} \tag{3.1}$$

In what follows, we prove several bilinear estimates.

Lemma 3.2. *Let $T > 0$, $n \geq 2$ and $\vec{u}_0 \in B^{-1}_{\infty,1} \cap B^{-1,1}_{\infty,\infty}$. We have the following two assertions:*

(1) *For $\theta \in \mathcal{Y}_T$ we have*

$$\|\mathfrak{J}_1(\vec{u},\theta)\|_{\mathcal{X}_T} \lesssim (1+T)\Big(\|\vec{u}_0\|_{B^{-1}_{\infty,1}\cap B^{-1,1}_{\infty,\infty}} + \|\vec{u}\|^2_{\mathcal{X}_T} + \|\theta\|_{\mathcal{Y}_T}\Big). \tag{3.2}$$

(2) *For $\theta \in \mathcal{Z}_T$, $r \in [1,\infty]$ and $p \in (\frac{n}{2},\infty)$ we have*

$$\|\mathfrak{J}_1(\vec{u},\theta)\|_{\mathcal{X}_T} \lesssim (1+T)\Big(\|\vec{u}_0\|_{B^{-1}_{\infty,1}\cap B^{-1,1}_{\infty,\infty}} + \|\vec{u}\|^2_{\mathcal{X}_T} + \|\theta\|_{\mathcal{Z}_T}\Big). \tag{3.3}$$

Proof. We divide the proof of the $\mathfrak{J}_1(\vec{u},\theta)$ into two subcases $q \geq 0$ and $q = -1$. Since when $q \geq 0$, the symbol of $\triangle_q$ is supported in dyadic shells and the symbol of $\mathbb{P}$ is smooth in the corresponding dyadic shells we have

$$\triangle_q\mathfrak{J}_1(\vec{u},\theta) = e^{t\Delta}\triangle_q\vec{u}_0 - \int_0^t e^{(t-\tau)\Delta}\triangle_q\left(\mathbb{P}\nabla\cdot(\vec{u}\otimes\vec{u})(\tau) - \mathbb{P}(\theta\vec{a})\right)d\tau. \tag{3.4}$$

In (3.4) we have n scalar equations and each of the n components shares the same estimate. By making use of (2.2) twice we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|\triangle_q\mathfrak{J}_1(\vec{u},\theta)\|_\infty &\lesssim e^{-\kappa 2^{2q}t}\|\triangle_q\vec{u}_0\|_\infty + \int_0^t e^{-\kappa 2^{2q}(t-\tau)}\Big(2^q\|\triangle_q(\vec{u}\otimes\vec{u})\|_\infty + \|\triangle_q\theta\|_\infty\Big)d\tau \\ &\lesssim e^{-\kappa 2^{2q}t}\|\triangle_q\vec{u}_0\|_\infty + \int_0^t e^{-\kappa 2^{2q}(t-\tau)}2^q\|\triangle_q(\vec{u}\otimes\vec{u})\|_\infty d\tau \\ &\quad + \int_0^t e^{-\kappa 2^{2q}(t-\tau)}\min\{2^{2q}\|\triangle_q\theta\|_{\frac{n}{2}},\ 2^{\frac{qn}{p}}\|\triangle_q\theta\|_p\}d\tau. \end{aligned}$$

Applying convolution inequalities to the above estimate with respect to time variable we get

$$\|\triangle_q\mathfrak{J}_1(\vec{u},\theta)\|_{L^2_TL^\infty_x} \lesssim \left(\frac{1-e^{-2\kappa T 2^{2q}}}{2\kappa}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\Big(2^{-q}\|\triangle_q\vec{u}_0\|_\infty + \|\triangle_q(\vec{u}\otimes\vec{u})\|_{L^1_TL^\infty_x}\Big)$$

$$+\min\Big\{\frac{1-e^{-2\kappa T 2^{2q}}}{2\kappa}\|\triangle_q\theta\|_{L^2_T L^{\frac{n}{2}}_x},\quad \frac{1-e^{-2\kappa T 2^{2q}}}{2\kappa 2^{q(2-\frac{n}{p})}}\|\triangle_q\theta\|_{L^2_T L^p_x}\Big\}. \tag{3.5}$$

Considering

$$\sum_{q\geq 0} 2^{-q(2-\frac{n}{p})} < \infty \quad \text{for } p\in(\frac{n}{2},\infty)\cap[1,\infty),$$

from (3.5) and Definition 1.1 we see that

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{q\geq 0}\|\triangle_q\mathfrak{J}_1(\vec{u},\theta)\|_{L^2_T L^\infty_x} &\lesssim \sum_{q\geq -1}\Big(2^{-q}\|\triangle_q\vec{u}_0\|_\infty + \|\triangle_q(\vec{u}\otimes\vec{u})\|_{L^1_T L^\infty_x} \\ &\qquad + \min\{\|\triangle_q\theta\|_{L^2_T L^{\frac{n}{2}}_x},\ \ 2^{-q(\frac{n}{p}-2)}\|\triangle_q\theta\|_{L^2_T L^p_x}\}\Big) \\ &\lesssim \|\vec{u}_0\|_{B^{-1}_{\infty,1}} + \|\vec{u}\otimes\vec{u}\|_{\tilde{L}^1_T(B^0_{\infty,1})} + \min\{\|\theta\|_{\tilde{L}^2_T(B^0_{\frac{n}{2},2})},\ \|\theta\|_{\tilde{L}^2_T(B^0_{p,\infty})}\}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.6}$$

Considering

$$\sup_{q\geq -1}\frac{q+3}{2^{q(2-\frac{n}{p})}} \leq C(p,n) < \infty \quad \text{for } p > \frac{n}{2},$$

from (3.5), Definition 1.1 and a similar argument as before we see that

$$\begin{aligned}\sup_{q\geq 0}(q+3)\|\triangle_q\mathfrak{J}_1(\vec{u},\theta)\|_{L^2_T L^\infty_x} &\lesssim \|\vec{u}_0\|_{B^{-1,1}_{\infty,\infty}} + \|\vec{u}\otimes\vec{u}\|_{\tilde{L}^1_T(B^{0,1}_{\infty,\infty})} \\ &\qquad + \min\{\|\theta\|_{\tilde{L}^2_T(B^{0,1}_{\frac{n}{2},\infty})},\ \|\theta\|_{\tilde{L}^2_T(B^0_{p,\infty})}\}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.7}$$

Next we consider the case $q=-1$. We recall the decay estimates of Oseen kernel (cf., Chapter 11, [14]), by interpolating we observe that $e^{\Delta}\mathbb{P}(-\Delta)^{-\frac{1}{2}+\delta}\nabla$ (for any $\delta\in(0,\frac{1}{2})$) is L^1_x bounded. Similar to (3.4) we get

$$S_0\mathfrak{J}_1(\vec{u},\theta) = e^{t\Delta}S_0\vec{u}_0 - \int_0^t e^{(t-\tau)\Delta}S_0[\mathbb{P}\nabla\cdot(\vec{u}\otimes\vec{u})(\tau)]d\tau + \int_0^t e^{(t-\tau)\Delta}S_0\mathbb{P}(\theta\vec{a})(\tau)d\tau.$$

Applying decay estimates of heat kernel and Lemma 2.1 of supp$\mathcal{F}S_0\subset B(0,\frac{4}{3})$ we see that

$$\begin{aligned}\|S_0\mathfrak{J}_1(\vec{u},\theta)\|_\infty &\lesssim \|e^{t\Delta}S_0\vec{u}_0\|_\infty + \int_0^t (t-\tau)^{-\frac{n}{4p}}\|\mathbb{P}S_0\theta\|_{2p}d\tau \\ &\qquad + \int_0^t \|e^{(t-\tau)\Delta}S_0\mathbb{P}\nabla\cdot(\vec{u}\otimes\vec{u})(\tau)\|_\infty d\tau \\ &\lesssim \|S_0\vec{u}_0\|_\infty + \int_0^t (t-\tau)^{-\frac{n}{4p}}\min\{\|S_0\theta\|_p,\ \ \|S_0\theta\|_{\frac{n}{2}}\}d\tau \\ &\qquad + \int_0^t (\|S_0(\vec{u}\otimes\vec{u})\|_\infty d\tau. \end{aligned}$$

In the above estimate we have used the following fact (see (5.29) of [17]):

$$\|S_0\mathbb{P}\nabla\cdot(\vec{u}\otimes\vec{u})\|_\infty \lesssim \|\mathbb{P}\nabla\tilde{h}\|_1\|S_0(\vec{u}\otimes\vec{u})\|_\infty \lesssim \|\nabla\tilde{h}\|_{\dot{B}^0_{1,1}}\|S_0(\vec{u}\otimes\vec{u})\|_\infty \lesssim \|S_0(\vec{u}\otimes\vec{u})\|_\infty.$$

Applying convolution inequalities to time variable we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}\|S_0\mathfrak{J}_1(\vec{u},\theta)\|_{L^2_TL^\infty_x} &\lesssim T^{\frac12}\|S_0\vec{u}_0\|_\infty + T^{\frac12}\|S_0(\vec{u}\otimes\vec{u})\|_{L^1_TL^\infty_x} + T^{\frac{4p-n}{4p}}\min\{\|S_0\theta\|_{L^2_TL^{\frac{n}{2}}_x}, \|S_0\theta\|_{L^2_TL^p_x}\} \\ &\lesssim C_T\Big[\|\vec{u}_0\|_{B^{-1}_{\infty,\infty}} + \|\vec{u}\otimes\vec{u}\|_{\tilde{L}^1_T(B^0_{\infty,\infty})} + \min\{\|\theta\|_{\tilde{L}^2_T(B^0_{\frac{n}{2},\infty})}, \|\theta\|_{\tilde{L}^2_T(B^0_{p,\infty})}\}\Big] \qquad (3.8) \\ &\lesssim C_T\Big[\|\vec{u}_0\|_{B^{-1,1}_{\infty,\infty}} + \|\vec{u}\otimes\vec{u}\|_{\tilde{L}^1_T(B^{0,1}_{\infty,\infty})} + \min\{\|\theta\|_{\tilde{L}^2_T(B^{0,1}_{\frac{n}{2},\infty})}, \|\theta\|_{\tilde{L}^2_T(B^0_{p,\infty})}\}\Big], \qquad (3.9)\end{aligned}$$

where $C_T = (T^{\frac{4p-n}{4p}} + T^{\frac12})$.

By applying (3.6) $\sim$ (3.9) and Definition 1.1 as well as Lemmas 2.6 $\sim$ 2.7 we prove (3.2) and (3.3) and we complete the proof of Lemma 3.2. □

Lemma 3.3. *Let $T > 0$, $n \geq 2$ and $\vec{u} \in \mathcal{X}_T$. We have the following assertions:*

(1) *For $\theta_0 \in B^{-1}_{\frac{n}{2},1} \cap B^{-1,1}_{\frac{n}{2},\infty}$ we have*

$$\|\mathfrak{J}_2(\vec{u},\theta)\|_{\mathcal{Y}_T} \lesssim (1+T)\Big(\|\theta_0\|_{B^{-1}_{\frac{n}{2},1}\cap B^{-1,1}_{\frac{n}{2},\infty}} + \|\vec{u}\|_{\mathcal{X}_T}\|\theta\|_{\mathcal{Y}_T}\Big). \qquad (3.10)$$

(2) *For $\theta_0 \in B^{-1}_{p,r}$, $r \in [1,\infty]$ and $p \in (\frac{n}{2},\infty)$ we have*

$$\|\mathfrak{J}_2(\vec{u},\theta)\|_{\mathcal{Z}_T} \lesssim (1+T)\Big(\|\theta_0\|_{B^{-1}_{p,r}} + \|\vec{u}\|_{\mathcal{X}_T}\|\theta\|_{\mathcal{Z}_T}\Big). \qquad (3.11)$$

Proof. Similar as before, we divide the proof of the $\mathfrak{J}_2(\vec{u},\theta)$ into two subcases $q \geq 0$ and $q = -1$. In the case $q \geq 0$ we have

$$\triangle_q\mathfrak{J}_2(\vec{u},\theta) = e^{t\Delta}\triangle_q\theta_0 - \int_0^t e^{(t-\tau)\Delta}\triangle_q\nabla\cdot(\vec{u}\theta)(\tau)d\tau.$$

Applying Lemma 2.1, using convolution inequalities to time variable and following a similar argument as before we see that

$$\|\triangle_q\mathfrak{J}_2(\vec{u},\theta)\|_{L^2_TL^{\frac{n}{2}}_x} \lesssim 2^{-q}\|\triangle_q\theta_0\|_{\frac{n}{2}} + \|\triangle_q(\vec{u}\theta)\|_{L^1_TL^{\frac{n}{2}}_x}, \qquad (3.12)$$

which yields

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{q\geq0}\|\triangle_q\mathfrak{J}_2(\vec{u},\theta)\|_{L^2_TL^{\frac{n}{2}}_x} &\lesssim \sum_{q\geq-1}(2^{-q}\|\triangle_q\theta_0\|_{\frac{n}{2}} + \|\triangle_q(\vec{u}\theta)\|_{L^1_TL^{\frac{n}{2}}_x}) \\ &\lesssim \|\theta_0\|_{B^{-1}_{\frac{n}{2},1}} + \|\vec{u}\theta\|_{\tilde{L}^1_T(B^0_{\frac{n}{2},1})} \qquad (3.13)\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\sup_{q\geq0}(q+3)\|\triangle_q\mathfrak{J}_2(\vec{u},\theta)\|_{L^2_TL^{\frac{n}{2}}_x} \lesssim \|\theta_0\|_{B^{-1,1}_{\frac{n}{2},\infty}} + \|\vec{u}\theta\|_{\tilde{L}^1_T(B^{0,1}_{\frac{n}{2},\infty})}, \qquad (3.14)$$

where we have used Definition 1.1. Now we consider the case $q=-1$. Similarly, we have

$$S_0\mathfrak{J}_2(\vec{u},\theta) = e^{t\Delta}S_0\theta_0 - \int_0^t e^{(t-\tau)\Delta}S_0\nabla\cdot(\vec{u}\theta)(\tau)d\tau.$$

Applying Lemma 2.1 and convolution inequality to time variable we obtain

$$\|S_0\mathfrak{J}_2(\vec{u},\theta)\|_{L^2_TL^{\frac{n}{2}}_x} \lesssim T^{\frac{1}{2}}\|S_0\theta_0\|_{\frac{n}{2}} + T^{\frac{1}{2}}\|S_0(\vec{u}\theta)\|_{L^1_TL^{\frac{n}{2}}_x}$$

which yields

$$\begin{aligned}\|S_0\mathfrak{J}_2(\vec{u},\theta)\|_{L^2_TL^{\frac{n}{2}}_x} &\lesssim T^{\frac{1}{2}}\big(\|\theta_0\|_{B^{-1}_{\frac{n}{2},\infty}} + \|\vec{u}\theta\|_{\tilde{L}^1_T(B^0_{\frac{n}{2},\infty})}\big) &(3.15)\\ &\lesssim T^{\frac{1}{2}}\big(\|\theta_0\|_{B^{-1,1}_{\frac{n}{2},\infty}} + \|\vec{u}\theta\|_{\tilde{L}^1_T(B^{0,1}_{\frac{n}{2},\infty})}\big). &(3.16)\end{aligned}$$

The desired results (3.10) and (3.11) follows from (3.13) $\sim$ (3.16) and Definition 1.1 as well as Lemmas 2.6 and 2.7. This proves Lemma 3.3. □

Proofs of Theorems 1.2 and 1.3: From Lemmas 3.2, 3.3 and 3.1 as well as a standard argument, we see that Theorems 1.2 and 1.3 follow.

4 Proof of Theorem 1.4

In this section, we give the proof of Theorem 1.4. We first prove the following heat semi-group characterization of the space $B^{s,\sigma}_{p,r}$:

Lemma 4.1. *Let $p,r\in[1,\infty]$, $s<0$ and $\sigma\geq 0$. The following assertions are equivalent:*

(1) $f\in B^{s,\sigma}_{p,r}$,.

(2) *For all $t\in(0,1)$, $e^{t\Delta}f\in L^p_x$ and $t^{\frac{1}{2}}|\ln(\frac{t}{e^2})|^{\sigma}\|e^{t\Delta}f\|_p\in L^r((0,1),\frac{dt}{t})$.*

Proof. The idea of the proof mainly comes from [14] and the proof is quite similar. But for readers convenience, we give the details as follows. We denote by C the constant depends on n and might depend on s, σ and r in the proof of this Lemma.

(1) $\Rightarrow$ (2). We write $f=S_0f+\sum_{j\geq0}\triangle_jf$ with

$$\|S_0f\|_p=\varepsilon_{-1},\quad \|\triangle_jf\|_p=2^{j|s|}(3+j)^{-\sigma}\varepsilon_j \text{ and } (\varepsilon_j)_{j\geq-1}\in\ell^r.$$

We estimate the norm $t^{\frac{|s|}{2}}|\ln(\frac{t}{e^2})|^{\sigma}\|e^{t\Delta}f\|_p$ by

$$t^{\frac{|s|}{2}}|\ln(\frac{t}{e^2})|^{\sigma}\|e^{t\Delta}S_0f\|_p \leq t^{\frac{|s|}{2}}|\ln(\frac{t}{e^2})|^{\sigma}\|e^{t\Delta}\tilde{S}_0S_0f\|_p \leq Ct^{\frac{|s|}{2}}|\ln(\frac{t}{e^2})|^{\sigma}\|S_0f\|_p.$$

Similarly, for $j\geq 0$, we have

$$t^{\frac{|s|}{2}}|\ln(\frac{t}{e^2})|^{\sigma}\|e^{t\Delta}\triangle_jf\|_p \leq Ct^{\frac{|s|}{2}}|\ln(\frac{t}{e^2})|^{\sigma}\|\triangle_jf\|_p.$$

Moreover, when $j \geq 0$ and $N \geq 0$, from the L^1_x integrability of heat kernel and Lemma 2.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} t^{\frac{|s|}{2}} |\ln(\frac{t}{e^2})|^{\sigma} \|e^{t\Delta} \triangle_j f\|_p &= t^{\frac{|s|}{2}} |\ln(\frac{t}{e^2})|^{\sigma} \|e^{t\Delta} (-t\Delta)^{\frac{N}{2}} (-t\Delta)^{-\frac{N}{2}} \triangle_j f\|_p \\ &\leq C t^{\frac{|s|-N}{2}} |\ln(\frac{t}{e^2})|^{\sigma} 2^{-jN} \|\triangle_j f\|_p. \end{aligned}$$

Combining the above estimates, for some $N \geq 2$ and any $0 < t < 1$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|e^{t\Delta} f\|_p &\leq C\Big(\varepsilon_{-1} + \sum_{j \geq 0} \min\Big\{2^{j|s|}(j+3)^{-\sigma}\varepsilon_j,\ t^{-\frac{N}{2}} 2^{-jN+j}(j+3)^{-\sigma}\varepsilon_j\Big\}\Big) \\ &\leq C \|(\varepsilon_j)_{j \geq -1}\|_{\ell^r} t^{-\frac{N}{2}} < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Let $I_k = (2^{-2-2k}, 2^{-2k}]$. For any $t \in (0,1] = \cup_{k \geq 0} I_k$, there exists an integer j_0 such that $t \in I_{j_0}$. And for $t \in I_{j_0}$ we have

$$t^{\frac{|s|}{2}} |\ln(\frac{t}{e^2})|^{\sigma} \|e^{t\Delta} f\|_p \leq C\Big(\varepsilon_{-1} + \sum_{j=0}^{j_0} \frac{(3+j_0)^{\sigma}}{(3+j)^{\sigma}} 2^{-(j_0-j)|s|}\varepsilon_j + \sum_{j=j_0+1} 2^{(j_0-j)(|s|-N)}\varepsilon_j\Big) := C\,\eta_{j_0}.$$

From the above estimate we see that

$$\|\|t^{\frac{|s|}{2}} |\ln(\frac{t}{e^2})|^{\sigma} e^{t\Delta} f\|_p\|_{L^r((0,1),\frac{dt}{t})} \leq C\,\|(\eta_j)_{j \geq -1}\|_{\ell^r} \leq C\,\|(\varepsilon_j)_{j \geq -1}\|_{\ell^r}.$$

Indeed, by using Young's inequality we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j_0 \geq -1} \Big(\sum_{j=0}^{j_0} \frac{(3+j_0)^{\sigma}}{(3+j)^{\sigma}} 2^{(j-j_0)|s|}\varepsilon_j\Big)^r &\leq C \sum_{j_0 \geq -1} \Big(\sum_{j=0}^{[\frac{j_0}{2}]} 2^{(j-[\frac{j_0}{2}])|s|}\varepsilon_j\Big)^r + C \sum_{j_0 \geq -1} \Big(\sum_{j=[\frac{j_0}{2}]+1}^{j_0} 2^{(j-j_0)|s|}\varepsilon_j\Big)^r \\ &\leq C\,\|(\varepsilon_j)_{j \geq -1}\|_{\ell^r}^r \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\sum_{j_0 \geq -1} \Big(\sum_{j=j_0+1} 2^{(j_0-j)(|s|-N)}\varepsilon_j\Big)^r \leq C\,\|(\varepsilon_j)_{j \geq -1}\|_{\ell^r}^r.$$

(2) $\Rightarrow$ (1). We get that $S_0 f = e^{-\frac{1}{2}\Delta} S_0 e^{\frac{1}{2}\Delta} f \in L^p_x$ since the kernel of $e^{-\frac{1}{2}\Delta} S_0$ is L^1_x bounded. Similarly, when $j \geq 0$, we write $\triangle_j f = e^{-t\Delta} \triangle_j e^{t\Delta} f$. For any $j \geq 0$, we choose t such that $2^{-2-2j} < t < 2^{-2j}$. Then we have

$$2^{js}(3+j)^{\sigma}\|\triangle_j f\|_p \leq C t^{\frac{|s|}{2}} (2 - \ln t)^{\sigma} \|e^{-t\Delta} \triangle_j e^{t\Delta} f\|_p \leq C t^{\frac{|s|}{2}} |\ln(\frac{t}{e^2})|^{\sigma} \|e^{t\Delta} f\|_p.$$

Consequently, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|f\|^r_{B^{s,\sigma}_{p,r}} = \sum_{j \geq -1} 2^{jsr}(3+j)^{\sigma r}\|\triangle_j f\|_p^r &\leq C\Big(\sup_{0<t<1} t^{\frac{|s|}{2}} |\ln(\frac{t}{e^2})|^{\sigma} \|e^{t\Delta} f\|_p\Big)^r \\ &\leq C \|t^{\frac{|s|}{2}} |\ln(\frac{t}{e^2})|^{\sigma} \|e^{t\Delta} f\|_p\|^r_{L^r((0,1),\frac{dt}{t})}, \end{aligned}$$

where the last inequality follows from a similar argument as in Lemma 16.1 of [14]. □

Next we prove a bilinear estimate.

Lemma 4.2. *Let* $n \ge 2$, $\varepsilon > 0$, $p \in (\frac{n}{2}, \infty)$ *and* $\mathfrak{J}_1,\mathfrak{J}_2$ *be as in* (3.1). *For* $0 < T \le 1$, *there exists* $0 < \nu < \nu(p) = \frac{2p-n}{2p}$ *such that*

$$\begin{cases} \sup\limits_{t\in(0,T)} t^{\frac{1}{2}}|\ln(\dfrac{t}{e^2})|\|\mathfrak{J}_1(\vec{u},\theta)\|_\infty \lesssim \|\vec{u}_0\|_{B^{-1,1}_{\infty,\infty}} + (\sup\limits_{t\in(0,T)} t^{\frac{1}{2}}|\ln(\dfrac{t}{e^2})|\|\vec{u}\|_\infty)^2 + T^\nu \sup\limits_{t\in(0,T)} t^{\frac{1}{2}}|\ln(\dfrac{t}{e^2})|^\varepsilon\|\theta\|_p \\ \sup\limits_{t\in(0,T)} t^{\frac{1}{2}}|\ln(\dfrac{t}{e^2})|^\varepsilon\|\mathfrak{J}_2(\vec{u},\theta)\|_p \lesssim \|\theta_0\|_{B^{-1,\varepsilon}_{p,\infty}} + \dfrac{1}{\varepsilon}\sup\limits_{t\in(0,T)} t^{\frac{1}{2}}|\ln(\dfrac{t}{e^2})|\|\vec{u}\|_\infty \sup\limits_{t\in(0,T)} t^{\frac{1}{2}}|\ln(\dfrac{t}{e^2})|^\varepsilon\|\theta\|_p \quad . \end{cases}$$

Proof. The term $\int_0^t e^{(t-\tau)\Delta}\mathbb{P}\nabla\cdot(\vec{u}\otimes\vec{u})d\tau$ is already treated in Lemma 2.5 of [7]. From Lemma 4.1 we see that

$$\sup_{t\in(0,1)} t^{\frac{1}{2}}|\ln(\frac{t}{e^2})|\|e^{t\Delta}\vec{u}_0\|_\infty \sim \|\vec{u}_0\|_{B^{-1,1}_{\infty,\infty}}, \quad \sup_{t\in(0,1)} t^{\frac{1}{2}}|\ln(\frac{t}{e^2})|^\varepsilon\|e^{t\Delta}\theta_0\|_p \sim \|\theta_0\|_{B^{-1,\varepsilon}_{p,\infty}}.$$

Therefore, it remains to estimate

$$\int_0^t e^{(t-\tau)\Delta}\mathbb{P}(\theta\vec{a})d\tau, \quad \int_0^t e^{(t-\tau)\Delta}\nabla\cdot(\vec{u}\theta)d\tau.$$

By using the decay estimates of the Oseen kernel (cf. [14], Proposition 11.1) we see that

$$\begin{aligned} t^{\frac{1}{2}}|\ln(\frac{t}{e^2})|\|\int_0^t e^{(t-\tau)\Delta}\mathbb{P}(\theta\vec{a})d\tau\|_\infty &\lesssim t^{\frac{1}{2}}|\ln(\frac{t}{e^2})|\int_0^t (t-\tau)^{-\frac{n}{2p}}\|\theta(\tau)\|_p d\tau \\ &\lesssim t^{\frac{1}{2}}|\ln(\frac{t}{e^2})|\int_0^t (t-\tau)^{-\frac{n}{2p}}\tau^{-\frac{1}{2}}|\ln(\frac{\tau}{e^2})|^{-\varepsilon}d\tau \sup_{\tau\in(0,T)}\tau^{\frac{1}{2}}|\ln(\frac{\tau}{e^2})|^\varepsilon\|\theta(\tau)\|_p \\ &\lesssim t^{\nu(p)}|\ln(\frac{t}{e^2})|^{1-\varepsilon}\sup_{\tau\in(0,T)}\tau^{\frac{1}{2}}|\ln(\frac{\tau}{e^2})|^\varepsilon\|\theta(\tau)\|_p \\ &\lesssim T^\nu\tau^{\frac{1}{2}}|\ln(\frac{\tau}{e^2})|^\varepsilon\|\theta(\tau)\|_p, \end{aligned}$$

where $\nu \in (0,\nu(p))$ and $\lim_{t\downarrow 0} t^{\nu(p)-\nu}|\ln(\frac{t}{e^2})|^{1-\varepsilon} = 0$. By applying the decay estimates of the heat kernel (is Oseen kernel too) (cf. [14], Proposition 11.1) we see that

$$\begin{aligned} & t^{\frac{1}{2}}|\ln(\frac{t}{e^2})|^\varepsilon\|\int_0^t e^{(t-\tau)\Delta}\nabla\cdot(\theta\vec{u})d\tau\|_p \lesssim t^{\frac{1}{2}}|\ln(\frac{t}{e^2})|^\varepsilon\int_0^t (t-\tau)^{-\frac{1}{2}}\|\theta(\tau)\|_p\|\vec{u}(\tau)\|_\infty d\tau \\ & \lesssim \frac{\sqrt{t}}{\varepsilon}|\ln(\frac{t}{e^2})|^\varepsilon\int_0^t (t-\tau)^{-\frac{1}{2}}\tau^{-1}|\ln(\frac{\tau}{e^2})|^{-1-\varepsilon}d\tau \sup_{\tau\in(0,T)}\tau^{\frac{1}{2}}|\ln(\frac{\tau}{e^2})|^\varepsilon\|\theta(\tau)\|_p \sup_{\tau\in(0,T)}\tau^{\frac{1}{2}}|\ln(\frac{\tau}{e^2})|\|\vec{u}(\tau)\|_\infty \\ & \lesssim \frac{1}{\varepsilon}\sup_{\tau\in(0,T)}\tau^{\frac{1}{2}}|\ln(\frac{\tau}{e^2})|^\varepsilon\|\theta(\tau)\|_p \sup_{\tau\in(0,T)}\tau^{\frac{1}{2}}|\ln(\frac{\tau}{e^2})|\|\vec{u}(\tau)\|_\infty, \end{aligned}$$

where $t^{\frac{1}{2}}|\ln(\frac{t}{e^2})|^\varepsilon\int_0^t(t-\tau)^{-\frac{1}{2}}\tau^{-1}|\ln(\frac{\tau}{e^2})|^{-1-\varepsilon}d\tau \lesssim \frac{1}{\varepsilon}$. Indeed, it suffices to show

$$t^{\frac{1}{2}}|\ln(\frac{t}{e^2})|^\varepsilon\int_0^{t/2}(t-\tau)^{-\frac{1}{2}}\tau^{-1}|\ln(\frac{\tau}{e^2})|^{-1-\varepsilon}d\tau \lesssim \frac{1}{\varepsilon},$$

which is equivalent to

$$|\ln(\frac{t}{e^2})|^{\varepsilon}\int_0^{t/2}\tau^{-1}|\ln(\frac{\tau}{e^2})|^{-1-\varepsilon}d\tau \lesssim \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \Leftrightarrow \int_{|\ln(\frac{t}{e^2})|}^{\infty} x^{-1-\varepsilon}dx \lesssim \frac{1}{\varepsilon}|\ln(\frac{t}{e^2})|^{-\varepsilon}.$$

□

Proof of Theorem 1.4: Applying Lemmas 3.1 and 4.2 and following similar arguments as in [7], we prove Theorem 1.4. We omit the details here.

References

[1] H. Abidi, T. Hmidi, On the global well-posedness for Boussinesq system, *J. Differential Equations*, **233** (2007), 199-220.

[2] J.M. Bony, Calcul symbolique et propagation des singlarité pour les équations aux dérivées partielles non linéarires, *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup*, **14** (1981), 209–246.

[3] J.R. Cannon, E. DiBenedetto, The initial value problem for Boussinesq equations with data in L^p, *Approximation Methods for Navier-Stokes Problems, Lect. Notes in Math.*, **771**(1980), 129–144.

[4] M. Cannone, Harmonic analysis tools for solving the incompressible Navier-Stokes equations, in: *Handbook of Mathematical Fluid Dynamics.*, Vol. 3, S. Friedlander and D. Serre, eds, Elsevier, 2003.

[5] D. Chae, Global regularity for the 2-D Boussinesq equations with partial viscous terms, *Adv. Math.*, **203** (2006) 497–513.

[6] J.Y. Chemin, Théorèmes d'unicité pour le système de Navier-Stokes tridimensionnel, *J. Anal. Math.*, **77** (1999), 27–50.

[7] S. Cui, Global weak solutions for the Navier-Stokes Equations for $\dot{B}^{-1,1}_{\infty,\infty} + \dot{B}^{-1+r,\frac{2}{1-r}}_{\dot{X}_r} + L^2$ Initial Data, arXiv:submit/0187995, 2011.

[8] R. Danchin, *Fourier analysis method for PDEs*, Preprint, November 2005.

[9] R. Danchin, M. Paicu, Le théorème de Leary et le théorème de FujitaCKato pour le système de Boussinesq partiellement visqueux, *Bull. Soc. Math. France*, **136** (2008) 261C-309.

[10] L.C.F. Ferreira, E.J. Vilamiza-Roa, Existence of solutions to the convection problem in a pseudomeasure-type space, *Proc. R. Soc. A*, **464** (2008), 1983–1999.

[11] T. Hishida, Existence and regularizing properties of solutions for the nonstationary convection problem, *Funkcial. Ekvac.*, **34** (1991), 449–474.

[12] T. Hmidi, F. Rousset, Global well-posedness for the NavierCStokesCBoussinesq system with axisymmetric data, *Ann. I. H. Poincaré–AN*, **27** (2010), 1227-1246.

[13] Y. Kagei, On weak solutions of nonstationary Boussinesq equations, *Differential Integral Equations,* **6** (1993), 587–611.

[14] P.G. Lemarié-Rieusset, *Recent developments in the Navier-Stokes problem*, Research Notes in Mathematics, Chapman & Hall/CRC, 2002.

[15] A. Majda, *Introduction to PDEs and waves for the atmosphere and ocean*, Courant Lecture Notes in Mathematics, AMS/CIMS, **9**, 2003.

[16] H. Morimoto, Non-Stationary Boussinesq equations, *J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo, Sect. IA*, **39** (1992), 61–75.

[17] O. Sawada, Y. Taniuchi, On the Boussinesq flow with nondecaying initial data, *Funkcialaj Ekvacioj*, **47** (2004), 225–250.

[18] H. Triebel, *Interpolation theory, function spaces, differential operators*, North Holland Publishing Company, Amsterdam, New York, 1978.

[19] T. Yoneda, Ill-posedness of the 3D-Navier-Stokes equations in a generalized Besov space near BMO^{-1}, *J. Funct. Anal.*, **258** (2010), 3376–3387